arXiv:1101.0338v2 [math.FA] 22 Jan 2011

ESSENTIAL COMMUTATION OF COMPOSITION AND INTEGRAL-TYPE OPERATORS

CE-ZHONG TONG AND ZE-HUA ZHOU*

Abstract. Let H^∞ represent the bounded holomorphic function algebra on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ in the complex plane. The Bloch space and little Bloch space in $\mathbb{D}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ respectively. In this paper, we investigate the essential commutation of composition and integral-type operators from H^∞ or $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{B}_0$. Corresponding results for the boundedness and compactness have also been obtained.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane. Denote by $H(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$, and $S(\mathbb{D})$ the collection of all the holomorphic self mappings of $\mathbb{D}$. Every $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ induces the composition operator C_φ defined by $C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi$ for $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$.

Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, the Volterra operator J_g is defined by

$$J_g f(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta) g'(\zeta) d\zeta, \; z \in \mathbb{D}, \;\; f \in H(\mathbb{D});$$

and another integral operator I_g is defined by

$$I_g f(z) = \int_0^z f'(\zeta) g(\zeta) d\zeta, \; z \in \mathbb{D}, \;\; f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

Use the notation $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ to represent bounded holomorphic function algebra with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ as its supreme norm. The Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_* := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty,$$

then $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a complete semi-norm on $\mathcal{B}$, which is Möbius invariant. Bloch space is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\| = |f(0)| + \|f\|_*.$$

The little Bloch space is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_0$ consisting of $f \in \mathcal{B}$ for which

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0.$$

Composition and integral-type operators are studied quite well in some fields, just like that all composition operators are bounded naturally on H^∞ or $\mathcal{B}$. We

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47B38; Secondary: 47B33, 47B35,46E15,32A36.

Key words and phrases. composition operator; integral-type operator; Bloch space; essential commutation.

* Corresponding author. Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grand Nos. 10971153, 10671141).

refer to the books [2, 8] and some recent papers [11, 12, 13] to learn much more on this subject. The boundedness and compactness of Volterra operators on $\mathcal{Q}_p$ were characterized in [10]. The same problems for the product of composition and Volterra operators on all kinds of function spaces on the disk of $\mathbb{C}$ have also been discussed, see some recent papers [4, 5, 6].

Based on these results, we consider the composition operator $C_\varphi : X \to X$, and the integral-type operator J_g (or I_g) : $X \to \mathcal{B}$ where X represents H^∞ or $\mathcal{B}$. Generally, it is clear that $C_\varphi J_g \neq J_g C_\varphi$ (or $C_\varphi I_g \neq I_g C_\varphi$), but it is interesting to study when

$$C_\varphi J_g = J_g C_\varphi \mod \mathcal{K} \quad (\text{or} \quad C_\varphi I_g = I_g C_\varphi \mod \mathcal{K})? \tag{1.1}$$

Here $\mathcal{K}$ represents the collection of all compact operators from X to $\mathcal{B}$.

If (1.1) holds for any $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, we call C_φ and J_g or I_g to be *essentially commutative.*

Since all the composition operators are bounded on X, it is nature to ask:

> **Main Questions:** what properties does a non-constant g have, while (1.1) holds true for every $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$?

In this paper, we dedicate to answer those questions. Even on the disk of $\mathbb{C}$, some properties are not easily managed.

2. Preliminaries

Before the discussion of our main results, we need some preliminary notations and propositions, and we just state them without proving.

Schwarz derivative. Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, denote the Schwarz derivative by $\varphi^{\#}(z) = \frac{1-|z|^2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2}\varphi'(z)$. From Schwarz Lemma we know that $|\varphi^{\#}(z)| \leq 1$, and the equality holds if and only if φ is an automorphism of the unit disk.

The following criterion for compactness follows from standard arguments which is similar to the method of Proposition 3.11 in [2]. Hence we omit the details. The lemma is also true when we substitute I_g by J_g.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$*. Then* $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ *is compact from* X *to* $\mathcal{B}$ *if and only if for any bounded sequence* $\{f_k\}$, $k = 1, 2, ...$ *in* X *which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of* $\mathbb{D}$, $\|(C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi) f_k\|_* \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$.

Recall that the notation $\mathbb{C}^N$ represents the N dimensional complex Euclidean space. Denote the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^N$ by B_N. The following Lemma will be used in Section 4, which was first presented by Berndtsson in [1].

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\{x_i\}$ *be a sequence in the ball* B_N *satisfying*

$$\prod_{j:j\neq k} |\Phi_{x_j}(x_k)| \geq d > 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad k. \tag{2.1}$$

Then there exists a number $M = M(d) < \infty$ *and a sequence of functions* $h_k \in H^\infty(B_N)$ *such that*

$$(a) \;\; h_k(x_j) = \delta_{kj}; \quad (b) \;\; \sum_k |h_k(z)| \leq M \quad \text{for} \quad |z| < 1. \tag{2.2}$$

(The symbol δ_{kj} *is equal to* 1 *if* $k = j$ *and* 0 *otherwise.)*

Next lemma was proved by Carl Toews in [9].

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\{z_n\} \subset B_N$ *be a sequence with* $|z_n| \to 1$ *as* $n \to \infty$. *Then for any given* $d \in (0,1)$ *there is a subsequence such that* $\{x_i\} := \{z_{n_i}\}$ *satisfies* (2.1).

From this lemma, there is always a subsequence which satisfies (2.1) for every sequence converging to the boundary of the ball, and Lemma 2.2 holds for this subsequence. What we need is just the case in one dimension.

To get some simple consequences of our main problems, we will consider the situations on little Bloch settings. Next lemma is as usual, see [7].

Lemma 2.4. *A closed set* K *in* $\mathcal{B}_0$ *is compact if and only if it is bounded and satisfies*

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1} \sup_{f\in K} (1-|z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0.$$

The boundedness of operators J_g and I_g, from X to the Bloch space, are required in the following contents, and several characterizations are listed here before our discussion.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Then*

(Corollary 4 in [4]**):** $J_g : H^\infty \to \mathcal{B}$ *is bounded if and only if* $g \in \mathcal{B}$*;*

(Theorem 3 in [6]**):** $J_g : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ *is bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)|g'(z)| \ln \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} < \infty;$$

(Corollary 1 in [4] **and Theorem 14 in** [6]**):** $I_g : X \to \mathcal{B}$ *is bounded if and only if* $g \in H^\infty$.

3. Essential commutation of C_φ and I_g

First we consider $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ as a whole operator from the Bloch space to itself.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that* $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Then* $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ *is bounded on the Bloch space if and only if*

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^\#(z)| \cdot |g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Suppose (3.1) holds, we will show that $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}$. For any $f \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi)f)(z) &= C_\varphi \int_0^z f'(\zeta)g(\zeta)d\zeta - I_g f(\varphi(z)) \\ &= \int_0^{\varphi(z)} f'(\zeta)g(\zeta)d\zeta - \int_0^z (f\circ\varphi)'(\zeta)g(\zeta)d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi)f\|_* &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)\,|\varphi'(z)f'(\varphi(z))g(\varphi(z)) - \varphi'(z)f'(\varphi(z))g(z)| \\ &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)\,|\varphi'(z)f'(\varphi(z))(g(\varphi(z)) - g(z))| \\ &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^\#(z)|\,|g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)|\,(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)\,|f'(\varphi(z))| \\ &\le \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^\#(z)|\,|g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| \cdot \|f\|_*. \end{aligned}$$

From which we obtain that $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ is bounded by (3.1).

Now suppose that $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}$, then there is a constant C such that

$$\|(C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi)f\|_* \leq \|(C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi)f\| < C$$

for $\|f\| \leq 1$. We will prove condition (3.1). Suppose not, there exists a sequence $\{w_n\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\varphi^{\#}(w_n)||g(\varphi(w_n)) - g(w_n)| = \infty.$$

Let $\alpha_n(z) = \frac{\varphi(w_n)-z}{1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}z}$, and $\alpha_n'(z) = -\frac{1-|\varphi(w_n)|^2}{(1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}z)^2}$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. It is easy to check that $\|\alpha_n\|_* = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} C &> \|(C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi)\alpha_n\|_* \\ &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| \cdot (1-|\varphi(z)|^2)|\alpha_n'(\varphi(z))| \\ &\geq (1-|\varphi(w_n)|^2)\frac{1-|\varphi(w_n)|^2}{|(1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}\varphi(w_n))^2|} \cdot |\varphi^{\#}(w_n)||g(\varphi(w_n)) - g(w_n)| \\ &= |\varphi^{\#}(w_n)||g(\varphi(w_n)) - g(w_n)| \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

That is impossible. So (3.1) holds. □

When we investigate essential commutation of C_φ and I_g, we need add the condition $g \in H^\infty$ to ensure the boundedness of I_g on the Bloch space, see Lemma 2.5.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that* $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ *and* $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. *Then* C_φ *and* I_g *are essentially commutative on* $\mathcal{B}$ *if and only if*

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1} |\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Suppose (3.2) holds. Notice that $g \in H^\infty$ and $|\varphi^{\#}| \leq 1$, we have

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^{\#}(z)| \cdot |g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| < \infty.$$

From which we conclude that $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ is a bounded operator by Theorem 3.1. For any bounded sequence $\{f_k\}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ with $\|f_k\| \leq M$. From (3.2), it follows that for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$$

with $\varphi(z) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (1-\delta)\mathbb{D}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi)f_k\|_* &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)|(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)|f_k'(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leq (A) + (B), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$(A) = \sup_{\varphi(z)\in(1-\delta)\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)|(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)|f_k'(\varphi(z))|,$$

and

$$(B) = \sup_{\varphi(z)\in\mathbb{D}\setminus(1-\delta)\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)|(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)|f_k'(\varphi(z))|.$$

$(A) < \varepsilon$ for sufficient large number k since $(1-\delta)\mathbb{D} \subset\subset \mathbb{D}$, and

$$(B) < \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \cdot \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)|f_k'(\varphi(z))| = \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\|f\|_* < \varepsilon.$$

Thus $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ is compact on $\mathcal{B}$.

Necessity. If $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ is compact on $\mathcal{B}$, certainly $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi$ is a bounded operator, then

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^{\#}(z)| \cdot |g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| < \infty$$

by Theorem 3.1. Suppose (3.2) is wrong, then there exists a sequence $\{w_n\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|\varphi(w_n)| \to 1$ and

$$|\varphi^{\#}(w_n)||g(\varphi(w_n)) - g(w_n)| > \epsilon > 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Let

$$h_n(z) = \frac{1-|\varphi(w_n)|^2}{1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}z}. \tag{3.5}$$

A simple computation shows that

$$h_n'(z) = (1-|\varphi(w_n)|^2)\frac{\overline{\varphi(w_n)}}{(1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}z)^2}.$$

So $\|h_n\|_* \le 1$ and $\{h_n\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. By Lemma 2.2, it follows that $\|(C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi)h_n\|_* \to 0$. On the other hand, by (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi)h_n\|_* \\ = & \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| \cdot (1-|\varphi(z)|^2)|h_n'(\varphi(z))| \\ = & \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z)) - g(z)| \cdot (1-|\varphi(z)|^2)\frac{|\varphi(w_n)|(1-|\varphi(w_n)|^2)}{|1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}\varphi(z)|^2} \\ \ge & |\varphi^{\#}(w_n)||g(\varphi(w_n)) - g(w_n)||\varphi(w_n)| > \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

when $n \to \infty$. And we find a contradiction. So (3.2) holds when C_φ and I_g commute essentially. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, then $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi : H^\infty \to \mathcal{B}$ is bounded if and only if* (3.1) *holds; $C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi : H^\infty \to \mathcal{B}$ is compact if and only if* (3.2) *holds.*

Proof. To prove this corollary, we only adjust (3.5), in the proof of Theorem 3.2, as

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1-|\varphi(w_n)|^2}{1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}z} \cdot \frac{\varphi(w_n) - z}{1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}z}.$$

The other proofs are similar to that of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. We omit the details. □

Using Lemma 2.4, we can easily get the following corollary on little Bloch settings. The method is as usual and we omit its proof.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, and notation X represents either the space H^∞, $\mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{B}_0$. The following three statements are equivalent:*

- **(a):** *$C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi : X \to \mathcal{B}_0$ is bounded;*
- **(b):** *$C_\varphi I_g - I_g C_\varphi : X \to \mathcal{B}_0$ is compact;*

(c): $\lim\limits_{|z|\to 1} |\varphi^{\#}(z)||g(\varphi(z))-g(z)|=0.$

Now we consider the problem raised in the first section:

- Is there any non-constant holomorphic function g on $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying
$$(C_\varphi:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B})I_g = I_g(C_\varphi : X\to X) \qquad \text{mod } \mathcal{K} \tag{3.6}$$
for every analytic self-mapping φ of $\mathbb{D}$?

The answer is negative, see the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Equation* (3.6) *holds for every self-mapping φ of the unit disk if and only if g is a constant.*

Proof. Sufficiency is obvious. For clarify the necessity, just consider φ as any automorphism in condition (3.2). Then maximum modular theorem implies that g must be a constant. □

4. Essential commutation of C_φ and J_g

First, we consider the case for $X=H^\infty$ in the main question.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\varphi\in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $g\in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

(1) *$C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi$ is bounded from H^∞ to $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if*
$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(z)-g'(z)|<\infty; \tag{4.1}$$

(2) *$C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi$ is compact from H^∞ to $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if* (4.1) *holds and*
$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1}(1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(z)-g'(z)|=0. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Being similar with the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we just need some modifications.
$$\begin{aligned} & \|(C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi)f\|_* \\ = & \left\|\int_0^{\varphi(z)} f(\zeta)g'(\zeta)d\zeta - \int_0^z f(\varphi(\zeta))g'(\zeta)d\zeta\right\|_* \\ = & \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)|f(\varphi(z))g'(\varphi(z))\varphi(z) - f(\varphi(z))g'(z)| \\ = & \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(z)-g'(z)||f(\varphi(z))|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Sufficiency of the two items in the theorem is obvious from the last formula in (4.3).

Necessity of boundedness can be proved by computing test functions
$$f_n(z) = 1-\frac{\varphi(w_n)-z}{1-\overline{\varphi(w_n)}z},$$
where sequence $\{w_n\}$ makes the equation (4.1) wrong.

Necessity of compactness. Suppose not, we can find a sequence $\{\varphi(w_n)\}$ converging to the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\epsilon_0>0$ such that
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(1-|w_n|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(w_n)-g'(w_n)|>\epsilon_0. \tag{4.4}$$

Further we may assume that $\{\varphi(w_n)\}$ is interpolating. Then there exist functions $\{h_n\}$ in H^∞ for $\{\varphi(w_n)\}$ such that
$$h_n(\varphi(w_k)) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=k, \\ 0 & n\neq k. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\sum_n |h_n(z)| \le M < \infty, \tag{4.6}$$

by Lemma 2.3 and 2.2, or see [3]. Equation (4.6) guarantee that $\{h_n\}$ is bounded in H^∞ and converges to zero on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi)h_n\|_* \\ = \ & \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi'(z) - g'(z)||h_n(\varphi(z))| \\ \ge \ & (1-|w_n|^2)|(g\circ\varphi'(w_n) - g'(w_n)||h_n(\varphi(w_n))| \\ = \ & (1-|w_n|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(w_n) - g'(w_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows by (4.5). Let $n\to\infty$, we find contradiction by (4.4). □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $g\in H(\mathbb{D})$. Next three conditions are equivalent:*

- **(a):** *$C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi : H^\infty \to \mathcal{B}_0$ is bounded;*
- **(b):** *$C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi : H^\infty \to \mathcal{B}_0$ is compact;*
- **(c):** *$\lim_{|z|\to 1}(1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(z) - g'(z)| = 0$.*

For composition operator and J_g, the result of our main question turns out to be interesting. Note that $g\in\mathcal{B}$ implies that $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(z)-g'(z)| < \infty$, thus $C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi$ is a bounded operator from H^∞ to $\mathcal{B}$. And C_φ and $J_g : H^\infty \to \mathcal{B}$ are essential commutative if and only if (4.2) holds. Further, we are going to answer the main question in next corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $g \in \mathcal{B}_0$, then for every analytic self-mapping φ, we have*

$$(C_\varphi : \mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B})J_g = J_g(C_\varphi : H^\infty \to H^\infty) \qquad \operatorname{mod}\ (\mathcal{K}). \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. Since g is in the little Bloch space, $(1-|z|^2)|g'(z)|$ tends to 0 whenever z tends to the boundary of the disk. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1}(1-|z|^2)|g'(\varphi(z))\varphi'(z) - g'(z)| \\ \le \ & \lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1}|\varphi^{\#}(z)|(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)|g'(\varphi(z))| + \lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1}(1-|z|^2)|g'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Conditions $g\in\mathcal{B}$ and $|\varphi^{\#}|\le 1$ imply that $C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi$ is compact from H^∞ to $\mathcal{B}$ for every self-mapping φ. □

Being different with the situation of C_φ and I_g, there are great many of non-constant functions g's, indeed all the little Bloch functions, making J_g to commute with all the composition operators essentially. Naturally, we are going to ask: Does J_g commuting essentially with all the composition operators imply that g is in the little Bloch space? The answer is positive!

Lemma 4.4. *If g is a Bloch function on the unit disk with the property that, for any rotation $\tau(z) = e^{\mathbf{it}}z$, $g\circ\tau - g$ is in the little Bloch space, then g itself must be in the little Bloch space.*

Proof. Condition $g\in\mathcal{B}$ is necessary to ensure that $J_g : H^\infty \to \mathcal{B}$ is bounded. Since $g\circ\tau - g$ is in the little Bloch space, we have

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1}(1-|z|^2)\left|g'(e^{\mathbf{it}}z)e^{\mathbf{it}} - g'(z)\right| = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Suppose that $g(z) = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then $g'(z) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}$. Integrating with respect to t from 0 to 2π, and some calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{2\pi} \lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2) \left| g'(e^{\mathbf{i}t}z)e^{\mathbf{i}t} - g'(z) \right| \mathrm{d}t \\
\geq \quad & \lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2) \left| \int_0^{2\pi} g'(e^{\mathbf{i}t}z)e^{\mathbf{i}t} - g'(z)\mathrm{d}t \right| \\
= \quad & \lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2) \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left((e^{\mathbf{i}t}z)^{n-1} e^{\mathbf{i}t} - na_n z^{n-1} \right) \mathrm{d}t \right| \\
= \quad & \lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2) \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} (e^{\mathbf{i}nt} - 1)\mathrm{d}t \right| \\
= \quad & 2\pi \cdot \lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2)|g'(z)|,
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality in the second line holds true by the dominated convergence theorem. Thus we get $g \in \mathcal{B}_0$ from (4.8). □

Theorem 4.5. *Equation* (4.7) *holds for every* φ *if and only if* $g \in \mathcal{B}_0$.

Proof. Sufficiency is stated in Corollary 4.3. To prove necessity, just consider the rotation of the disk. That is to say put $\varphi(z) = \tau(z) = e^{\mathbf{i}t}z$ in the condition (4.2), and we have $g \in \mathcal{B}_0$ by Lemma 4.4. □

Next several propositions are concerning C_φ and J_g from $\mathcal{B}$ to itself.

Proposition 4.6. *Assume that* $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Then* $C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi$ *is bounded from* $\mathcal{B}$ *to itself if and only if*

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(z) - g'(z)| \ln \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} < \infty. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Sufficiency can be clarified by some regular computations and inequalities. Necessity will be proved by choosing text function

$$f_w(z) = \ln \frac{2}{1-\overline{w}z}.$$

□

Proposition 4.7. *Assume that* $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- **(a):** $C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ *is compact and* (4.9) *holds;*
- **(b):** $C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi : \mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{B}_0$ *is compact;*
- **(c):** $C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi : \mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{B}_0$ *is weakly compact;*
- **(d):** *Condition* (4.9) *holds and*

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1} (1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(z) - g'(z)| \ln \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} = 0; \tag{4.10}$$

- **(e):** $C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}_0$ *is compact;*
- **(f):** $C_\varphi J_g - J_g C_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}_0$ *is bounded;*

The compactness of operators $C_\varphi J_g$ and $J_g C_\varphi$ are characterized in Theorem 4 and Theorem 11 in [6]. The proofs are similar with Theorem 4 in [6], thus we omit it.

To continue our discussion, we need an upper bound on the modular of $\varphi(z)$ (see Corollary 2.40 in [2]).

Lemma 4.8. *If* $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$*, then* $|\varphi(z)| \le \frac{|z|+|\varphi(0)|}{1+|z||\varphi(0)|}$.

Now we are ready to consider our main question for C_φ and J_g, where both of them are operators from $\mathcal{B}$ to itself.

Theorem 4.9. *Assume* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ *such that* J_g *is bounded on the Bloch space. Then*

$$(C_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}) J_g = J_g (C_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}) \qquad \text{mod } (\mathcal{K}) \tag{4.11}$$

for every analytic self-mapping φ *of unit disk, if and only if*

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2)|g'(z)| \ln \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. Following the method in the proof of Lemma 4.4, the necessity can be proved similarly.

Now suppose (4.12) holds. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1-|z|^2)|(g\circ\varphi)'(z) - g'(z)| \ln \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} \\
\le \quad & |\varphi^{\#}(z)|(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)|g'(\varphi(z)| \ln \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} \\
& + (1-|z|^2)|g'(z)| \ln \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} \cdot \frac{\ln 2 - \ln(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)}{\ln 2 - \ln(1-|z|^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Just consider those z's tending to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ with $|\varphi(z)| \to 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{|z|\to 1^-} \frac{\ln 2 - \ln(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)}{\ln 2 - \ln(1-|z|^2)} \\
= \quad & \lim_{|z|\to 1^-} \frac{-\ln(1-|\varphi(z)|)}{-\ln(1-|z|)} \\
\le \quad & \lim_{|z|\to 1^-} \frac{-\ln(1-\frac{|z|+|\varphi(0)|}{1+|z||\varphi(0)|})}{-\ln(1-|z|)} \\
= \quad & \lim_{|z|\to 1^-} \frac{\ln(1-|z|)(1-|\varphi(0)|) - \ln(1+|z||\varphi(0)|)}{\ln(1-|z|)} \\
= \quad & \lim_{|z|\to 1^-} \frac{-\frac{1}{1-|z|} - \frac{|\varphi(0)|}{1+|z||\varphi(0)|}}{-\frac{1}{1-|z|}} = 1
\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 4.8 and L'Hospital Law are utilized. Thus (4.10) holds for every $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ by (4.12). The proof is finished by Proposition 4.7. □

Acknowledgements. The work received great help from Professor Kehe Zhu. We would like to take this opportunity to express our appreciation to him.

References

[1] B. Berndtsson, Interpolating sequences for H^∞ in the ball, Math. Indag. 47 (1985), 1-10; Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetens., vol. 88A, pp. 1-10, 1985.

[2] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.

[3] J. B. Garnett, Bounded Analytic Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

[4] S. Li and S. Stević, Products of composition and integral type operators from H^∞ to the Bloch space, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, vol. 53, No. 5, pp. 463-474, 2008.

[5] S. Li, S. Stević, Products of Volterra type operator and composition operator from H^∞ and Bloch spaces to the Zygmund space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. vol. 345, pp. 40-52, 2008.

[6] S. Li, S. Stević, Products of integral-type operators and composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. vol. 349, pp. 596-610, 2009.

[7] S. Ohno, K. Stroethoff, R. Zhao, Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, Rochy Mountain J. Math., vol 33(1), pp. 191-215, 2003.

[8] J. H. Shapiro, Composition operators and classical function theory, Spriger-Verlag, 1993.

[9] Carl Toews, Topological components of the set of composition operators on $H^\infty(B_N)$, Integral Equations Operator Theory, vol. 48, pp. 265-280, 2004.

[10] J. Xiao, The $\mathcal{Q}_p$ carelson measure problem, Advances in Mathematics, vol. 217, pp. 2075-2088, 2008.

[11] Z. H .Zhou, R. Y. Chen, Weighted composition operators fom $F(p,q,s)$to Bloch type spaces, International Jounal of Mathematics, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 899-926, 2008.

[12] Z. H. Zhou, J. H. Shi, Compactness of composition operators on the Bloch space in classical bounded symmetric domains, Michigan Math. J., vol. 50, pp. 381-405, 2002.

[13] H. G. Zeng, Z. H. Zhou, An estimate of the essential norm of a composition operator from $F(p,q,s)$ to $\mathcal{B}^\alpha$ in the unit ball, J. Inequal. Appl. vol. 2010, 18p., Article ID 132970, 2010.

Department of Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin 300072
P.R. China.
E-mail address: `cezhongtong@hotmail.com`

Department of Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin 300072
P.R. China.
E-mail address: `zehuazhou2003@yahoo.com.cn`